Developing a patch for the PostgreSQL project is a fairly complex process, and success is not guaranteed. This talk will suggest many ways to improve your chances of submitting a successful patch to the PostgreSQL community.
Know the Community Values: Is it This?

http://www.friedmanarchives.com
The PostgreSQL codebase is 20+ years old, and is built expecting another twenty. Code designed for a long life-cycle has more stringent requirements.
Start Simple
Gain Experience Before Attempting Complex Tasks
Coding in public can be uncomfortable.
Be Prepared to be Humbled
Sometimes You Have to Do it Over
Get Agreement

Don’t Isolate Yourself
Design the Interface First

Define the new behavior, and get community agreement.
Interface Details

- Will the user interact with this new feature? If so, how?
- What is the syntax?
- What is the exact semantics/behavior?
- Are there any backward compatibility issues?
- Get community buy-in at this level of detail before you start coding.
How will the new behavior be implemented?
Implementation Details

- What subsystems and C files will be modified?
- How does it interact with other database features?
- How will error conditions be handled?
- Are there any system catalog changes?
- Are there any dump/restore issues? If so, fix pg_dump.
- Does psql need to be modified?
main(int c,char**v){return!m(v[1],v[2]);}m(char*s,char*t)
{return*t-42?*s?63==*t|*s==*t&&m(s+1,t+1):!*t:m(s,t+1)||
*s&m(s+1,t);}
• Four-space tabs
• BSD brace style
• Pgindent cures some ills, but not all
• Mimic surrounding code
Naming Details

- thisStyleIsOkay
- this_is_okay_too
- besttoavoidthis
- MimicAdjacentCode
- szNotHungarian
Match Your Surroundings
• Match the style of the surrounding code, even if it differs from other areas
• Don’t use #ifdef’s to enable your changes
• Comments are for clarification, not for delineating your code from its surroundings
• Do not add your name to the code (the release notes will immortalize your contribution)
Who Needs Comments?

```c
for (i = 0; i < len; i++)
{
    char ch = text[i];
    if (ch >= 'A' && ch <= 'Z')
        ch += 'a' - 'A';
    word[i] = ch;
}
word[len] = '\0';

low = &ScanKeywords[0];
high = LastScanKeyword - 1;
while (low <= high)
{
    const ScanKeyword *middle;
    int difference;
    middle = low + (high - low) / 2;
    difference = strcmp(middle->name, word);
    if (difference == 0)
        return middle;
    else if (difference < 0)
        low = middle + 1;
    else
        high = middle - 1;
}
```
/
* Apply an ASCII-only downcasing. We must not use tolower() since it may
* produce the wrong translation in some locales (e.g., Turkish).
*/
for (i = 0; i < len; i++)
{
    char ch = text[i];
    if (ch >= 'A' && ch <= 'Z')
        ch += 'a' - 'A';
    word[i] = ch;
}
word[len] = '\0';

/*
* Now do a binary search using plain strcmp() comparison.
*/
low = &ScanKeywords[0];
high = LastScanKeyword - 1;
while (low <= high)
{
    const ScanKeyword *middle;
    int difference;
    middle = low + (high - low) / 2;
    difference = strcmp(middle->name, word);
    if (difference == 0)
        return middle;
    else if (difference < 0)
        low = middle + 1;
    else
        high = middle - 1;
}
Sometimes A Long Comment Is Necessary

/*
 * Replace correlation vars (uplevel vars) with Params.
 * Uplevel aggregates are replaced, too.
 * Note: it is critical that this runs immediately after SS_process_sublinks.
 * Since we do not recurse into the arguments of uplevel aggregates, they will
 * get copied to the appropriate subplan args list in the parent query with
 * uplevel vars not replaced by Params, but only adjusted in level (see
 * replace_outer_agg). That’s exactly what we want for the vars of the parent
 * level --- but if an aggregate’s argument contains any further-up variables,
 * they have to be replaced with Params in their turn. That will happen when
 * the parent level runs SS_replace_correlation_vars. Therefore it must do
 * so after expanding its sublinks to subplans. And we don’t want any steps
 * in between, else those steps would never get applied to the aggregate
 * argument expressions, either in the parent or the child level.
 * Another fairly tricky thing going on here is the handling of SubLinks in
 * the arguments of uplevel aggregates. Those are not touched inside the
 * intermediate query level, either. Instead, SS_process_sublinks recurses
 * on them after copying the Aggref expression into the parent plan level
 * (this is actually taken care of in build_subplan).
 */
Node *
SS_replace_correlation_vars(PlannerInfo *root, Node *expr)
{
    /* No setup needed for tree walk, so away we go */
    return replace_correlation_vars_mutator(expr, root);
}
Style Details

- Proper naming can reduce need for comments
- Comment anything that could be unclear
- Use /*----- comment blocks if you want to preserve line breaks in comments
- Use ANSI C (C89), not C99
  - No // comments
  - Variable declarations only at the top of code blocks ({} )
Because it is best to test without passengers.
Testing Details

- Do existing regression tests pass? Are there intentional changes?
- Are new regression tests needed?
- Does initdb work?
- Are there any nonportable constructs? The buildfarm will quickly report them once the code is committed to CVS, which can be embarrassing.
• Are new commands or new options supported? Add/update reference pages.
• Do administrators need to know about this change? Add to administration section.
• Is this a new function? Add it to the functions documentation.
• Is there a documentation section that covers this behavior? Does it need adjustment?
"The electric light has caused me the greatest amount of study and has required the most elaborate experiments," Thomas Edison wrote. "I was never myself discouraged, or inclined to be hopeless of success. I cannot say the same for all my associates."

"Genius is one percent inspiration and ninety-nine percent perspiration."
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