The PostgreSQL Decade

BRUCE MOMJIAN



This talk is about the first decade of PostgreSQL.


https://momjian.us/presentations

Creative Commons Attribution License

Last updated: November 2024

Great Days Rarely Announce Themselves

The University of California at Berkeley







Postgres95



Michael Stonebraker



Jolly Chen and Andrew Yu

PostgreSQL Through the Years

- 1977–1985 Ingres 1986–1994 Postgres
- 1994–1995 Postgres95
- 1996– PostgreSQL

PostgreSQL Code Base History

- Ingres research prototype, spawned Relational Technologies, purchased by Computer Associates
- Postgres research prototype, spawned Illustra, purchased by Informix
- Postgres95 added SQL, spawned PostgreSQL

Marc: The Future?

```
Date: Fri, 19 Apr 1996 00:29:03 -0400 (EDT)
From: "Marc G. Fournier" <scrappy@ki.net>
Subject: RE: [PG95]: mSQL vs Postgres95
To: Simon Shapiro <Shimon@i-Connect.net>
cc: Chris Maeda <maeda@parc.xerox.com>, postgres95@shiloh.vnet.net
On Thu, 18 Apr 1996, Simon Shapiro wrote:
> Agree. I consider Postgres95 to have some weaknesses too:
>
> No NULL support, No unique index support. No functional referential
> integrity. no multiple database guery...
>
> This is not a bitching. It is a complement. It is a very nice attempt by
> some very bright people I had the pleasure to personally meet.
> I am sure that given time and room, they would have finished it into a
> more functional product.
```

Marc: The Future? (Reply)

Urm...just curious here, but why leave PG95 on Jolly/Andrew's shoulders to continue moving forward? How many ppl are on this list? How many ppl on this list consider themselves good programmers? How many ppl on this list have a particular area of the server that they want to see advanced, and are willing to spend time on? or...willing to coordinate with a few others to spread that load over?

This is what happens with FreeBSD...there is a core team (in this case, Jolly/Andrew) and a bunch of independent developers that add new features and fix old bugs, or just optimize code.

Its not as big of a project as developing an OS...is it?

Jolly: What It Takes

```
Date: Tue, 23 Apr 1996 16:06:10 -0400 (EDT)
From: "Marc G. Fournier" <scrappy@ki.net>
Subject: Re: [PG95]: postgres95 TODO list posted on the web
To: Chad Robinson <chadr@brttech.com>
cc: Jolly Chen <jolly@postgres.berkeley.edu>, postgres95@shiloh.vnet.net
On Tue, 23 Apr 1996, Chad Robinson wrote:
> On Tue, 23 Apr 1996, Jolly Chen wrote:
>
> > I've posted a TODO list on the postgres95 web site
> (http://s2k-ftp.CS.Berkeley.EDU:8000/postgres95/www/todo.html)
> > I've casually sorted the list by priority and I have some editorial
> > comments on some of them.
> >
> If all the items on the TODO list were completed, postgres95 would be
> > much improved, and would really be a viable replacement for commercial
> > RDBMSs in some settings. Some of the items require quite a bit of work
> and deep knowledge of postgres95 internals, though. We would need a few
> > contributors with guite a lot of volunteer hours to make this happen
> > anytime soon. (A large number of contributors each with only a little
>> bit of time to contribute would not be equivalent)
```

Marc: Offer

> Some of these things were on my own list to do also. I'd like to start > working on some of them, but the thing is, I'd also like to see a better > distribution form. The last update was several months ago, even though > there are several known 'good' patches that need to be applied to fix > various bugs. What are we missing? :-) Do we need a maintainer? We only > have a 28.8 link right now (T1 in a few months) but I'd be happy to provide > at least a basic FTP server with space, and some time to process patches, > updates, and so forth. I can at least be a mirror...

If it helps, I'd be willing to setup a cvs database, including appropriate accounts for a core few developers that patches can go through. >From there, it wouldn't be too hard to do a weekly "distribution" that is ftpable.

I don't know enough about the server backend to offer much more then that :(\

Marc: Move Ahead?

Date: Mon, 08 Jul 1996 22:12:19 -0400 (EDT) From: "Marc G. Fournier" <scrappy@ki.net> Subject: [PG95]: **Developers interested in improving PG95?** To: Postgres95 Users <postgres95@oozoo.vnet.net> Hi...

Awhile back, there was talk of a TODO list and development moving forward on Postgres95...at which point in time I volunteered to put up a cvs archive and sup server so that making updates (and getting at the "newest source code") was easier to do...

So far as I can see on the list itself, this has fallen to the wayside, with everyone posting about database corruptions and whatnot...but few solutions being brought up :(right now, I'm in the middle of cursing over the fact that I can't seem to get a project I'm working on to be stable on either a Solaris box or a FreeBSD box (the FreeBSD box is th emore stable of the two, mind you)...I've just rebuilt the FreeBSD server... then that :($\$

Marc: Move Ahead? (Continued)

Personally, I think that both Jolly and Andrew have done a fantastic job of bringing it to its currently level, but they, like most of the ppl on this list, have full time jobs that sap alot of their time...

...so, unless someone out there has already done this, and unless Jolly/Andrew tell me I can't (guys?)...I'm going to go ahead with what I wanted to do a few months ago...setup a development site similar to what is done with FreeBSD...

First stage will be to get a cvs archive of postgres 1.01 online tonight, with a sup server so that everyone has access to the source code.

If anyone has any patches they wish to submit based off of 1.01, please send them to postgres@ki.net and I'll commit those in as soon as cvs is up and running.

Unless there are any disaggremenets with this (or someone else has done this that I missed in mail...sorry if I did...)...I'll send out further data on this as soon as its up and running...

Marc: cvsup Installed

```
Date: Wed, 10 Jul 1996 19:38:00 -0400 (EDT)

From: "Marc G. Fournier" <scrappy@ki.net>

Subject: [PG95]: sup server active

To: pg95-dev@ki.net

cc: Postgres95 Users <postgres95@oozoo.vnet.net>

Hi...
```

Just got the sup server up and running, and for those that are familiar with sup, the following should work (ie. I can access the sup server from my machines using this): postgres95 release=postgres95 host=sup.ki.net hostbase=/home base=/home/staff/scrappy/test prefix=/home/s

Currently, only patches 1 and 2 that were found on the web site have been merged in, as nobody else has submitted any patches.

Any changes to the sup server are made at about 6am, since changes aren't *that* frequent (but hopefully this improves? *grin*)

Marc: The First Tarball

```
Date: Fri, 12 Jul 1996 14:20:31 -0400 (EDT)
From: "Marc G. Fournier" <scrappy@ki.net>
Subject: [PG95]: README.support
To: Postgres95 Users <postgres95@oozoo.vnet.net>, pg95-dev@ki.net
Hi...
```

I'm putting up a .tar.gz file of current distribution today, including:

patches 1 and 2 from the Web site

patches 3 and 4 from Paul

extensions to pg_dump from me and David

---- README.support ----

In order to co-ordinate both usage and development of Postgres95,

there are currently two mailing lists available:

General Discussion

 mainly geared towards usage of PostGres95 and associated support & third-party software

- send a message of subscribe to postgres95-request@postgres95.vnet.com Developers Mailling List

- those wishing to help improve Postgres95 and further develop it
- send a message of subscribe to pg95-dev-request@ki.net
- WWW: http://s2k-ftp.cs.berkeley.edu:8000/postgres95
- FTP: ftp://s2k-ftp.cs.berkeley.edu/pub/postgres95

The current source tree, with all patches installed, is available...

Marc: Volunteer?

Date: Wed, 10 Jul 1996 18:46:32 -0400 (EDT) From: "Marc G. Fournier" <scrappy@ki.net> Subject: Re: [PG95]: Developers interested in improving PG95? To: Jacob Hallen <jacob@cd.chalmers.se> cc: Postgres95 Users <postgres95@oozoo.vnet.net> On Tue, 9 Jul 1996, Jacob Hallen wrote: > Something that would be very good to include in the CVS archive is a set of > regression tests. That way we can make sure that we by fixing bugs don't make > old and already fixed ones reappear.

Are you on the development mailing list yet? *grin* Do you wish to volunteer to do this development, and/or co-ordinate others towards this end? I'm more then willing to import them into the distribution, as well as run them before I make up a "distribution" tar.gz file...

Bruce: Where Are We Going?

Date: Mon, 15 Jul 1996 00:28:34 -0400 (EDT) From: Bruce Momjian <maillist@candle.pha.pa.us> Subject: [PG95]: Future of Postgres95 development To: postgres95@oozoo.vnet.net (Postgres95) cc: jolly@cs.berkeley.edu

I have read the extensive discussion about the future of Postgres95 in the April 1996 mail list archives.

I am now wondering where Postgres95 is headed in terms of development. There were many discussions of what feature we would like to add, and how to structure team development.

Unfortunately, unless ${\rm I}$ have overlooked something, we are still at that stage.

I have looked at the todo list on the postgres95 www page, and I see several things that I would be interested in tackling. However, I am unsure were we are going.

Bruce: Jolly/Andrew?

Is there still desire to do these things? Is Jolly and Andrew going to continue to pour over the patches posted to the mailing list and bundle up new releases?

I personally would be happy if we could get into a simple bug-fix mode. I or someone else could pour through the mailing list since the release of 1.01 and gather all the patches that were posted. From this, a new release could be made. We could all then go to the new release and check if more bugs exist or new bugs were added.

If the release is considered stable enough, we could then move on to the todo list and start adding some of these features. I know I could tackle a few of them.

My guess is that a more stable Postgres95 would do more to increase our numbers and relieve user headaches, rather than adding features at this time.

Bruce: Patch Process

I prefer the posting of patches to the mailing list (or developers list) rather than having developers directly patching a common source tree because that way everyone could review the patches being made, and suggest other alternatives before the patch is applied. Only after there are no objections to the patch would it be applied. Marc G. Fournier has set up a source tree for us, and we could use that. I have not read any feedback from Jolly or Andrew on this issue. I would hate to start some parallel development tree while they were still releasing versions based on their own contributions and those of this list.

All this rambling leaves me with the same question, "Where are we going?".

I have posted four or five fixes/enhancements in the past month. At this point, I have no idea when or if these patches will be bundled with the standard postgres distribution. It makes me hesitant to start more development.

Bruce: TODO List

I think it would be great if Jolly and Andrew would continue to gather patches from postings to this list, and perhaps help suggest solutions to some of the more complex bugs left in the system (corrupt databases?).

If not, where are we going?

I am willing to bundle up a new release based on patches posted to this group since the release of 1.01.

I am also willing to start **gathering a list of known bugs** and coordinate efforts to develop patches to fix them.

After these steps, I am willing to **post the todo list**, and ask for volunteers to start work on them.

Jolly: Busy

```
Date: Sun, 14 Jul 1996 23:06:32 -0700
From: Jolly Chen <jolly@postgres.berkeley.edu>
Subject: [PG95]: Re: Future of Postgres95 development
To: Bruce Momjian <maillist@candle.pha.pa.us>
cc: postgres95@oozoo.vnet.net (Postgres95), jolly@cs.berkeley.edu
...
> Is there still desire to do these things? Is Jolly and Andrew going to
> continue to pour over the patches posted to the mailing list and bundle
> up new releases?
>
I personally would be happy if we could get into a simple bug-fix mode.
```

As much as I would like to, **I** simply cannot devote the time right now to putting together another release. I know of at lease one effort by Dr. George Detlefsen to accumulate the posted fixes to produce a new release. Since he has already put some energy into this, I think his work should be incorporated with other maintenance efforts.

• • •

Jolly: My Blessing

> I prefer the posting of patches to the mailing list (or > developers list) rather than having developers directly patching a > common source tree because that way everyone could review the patches > being made, and suggest other alternatives before the patch is applied. > Only after there are no objections to the patch would it be applied. > > Marc G. Fournier has set up a source tree for us, and we could use that. > I have not read any feedback from Jolly or Andrew on this issue. I > would hate to start some parallel development tree while they were still > releasing versions based on their own contributions and those of this > list.

>

I wholeheartedly support Marc's efforts. There is no parallel work that Andrew or I are undertaking. Since maintaining a large system like postgres requires quite a bit of work, I believe that work should be carried out in a concerted effort to reduce redundancy and possible inconsistency. In other words, you have my blessing, for whatever it's worth.

• • •

Jolly: License Should Remain

In addition, I feel strongly about the current licensing terms, and I recommend that **their spirit be preserved**. Of course, each author will have his or her own convictions and preferences. I want to point out that the current copyright terms allow anyone the freedom to use postgres95 in derivative works. If someone doesn't like the "Fournier" tree, he can branch off on his own and do whatever he wants, even commercialize it and profit from it if he likes. I support his right to do so even though I think a concerted to maintain a freely available database is better. No one should have a monopoly on the current postgres95 code base. You are not permitted to slap any additional licensing terms on the code that is copyrighted by the UC. What you do decide to do with new code that you develop on your own is, of course, completely up to you.

. . .

Bruce: Jolly's Blessing

Date: Wed, 17 Jul 1996 15:49:09 -0400 (EDT) From: Bruce Momjian <maillist@candle.pha.pa.us> Subject: [PG95]: Future of postgres95 (next release) To: postgres95@oozoo.vnet.net (Postgres95) cc: scrappy@ki.net (Marc G. Fournier)

Many of you have seen Marc's postings and others regarding work on the next release of postgres95.

I wanted to note a few things.

First, this effort is done with the full blessing of Jolly. He does not have time to do what he did when he packaged the 1.01 release, and does not think he will have the time to do this in the near future. Therefore, Marc decided to create a source tree that we can use to generate a new release. I applaud his time and effort in managing this project. This effort is not designed to splinter postgres development, but to coordinate the individual developers.

Bruce: Generate A Release

Marc has already applied patches to fix many bugs, and someone (Jason?) is going through the mailing list to get all relevant patches. This is a big job. In a few cases, patches are only workarounds, and in others, there are multiple patches to fix the same problem (PAGER). Therefore, I am asking that if you have posted a patch to the mailing list, and you feel it should be in the 1.02 release, please send it to Marc (scrappy@ki.net).

We may get it from the mailing list anyway, but sending it to Marc re-enforces the patch's validity and importance.

* * *

Many of you have spent hours fixing postgres95 bugs. We want to **generate a release** that takes advantage of the time you have spent and make postgres95 better for all of its users.

And after the bugs are gone, we have a todo list.

Erich Stamberger: Missing Features

```
Date: Sun, 21 Apr 1996 09:02:31 +0100 (GMT+0100)
From: Erich Stamberger <eberger@gewi.kfunigraz.ac.at>
Subject: Re: [PG95]: Postgres95 and the future.
To: "G.P. Ciceri" <qp.ciceri@IT.net>
cc: postgres95@shiloh.vnet.net
On Sat, 20 Apr 1996, G.P. Ciceri wrote:
      Adding relational constrains (primary key, referential integrity
> *
> rules. etc.).
I had the dream
      to make the rule system work.
      to add procedural features.
      to make the vacuumer shrink the files.
      to add a usable support for large objects,
      to remove the 8k limit for tuples.
      to make the file block size configurable.
      to reduce code size and make Postgres five times faster .-)
      to write a better optimizer ("or" in "where" clauses).
```

. . .

Erich Stamberger: Missing Features (Continued)

but I didn't dream to have something like a No-Cost-Oracle.

> *** Porting the client library, libpg, to other platform (MS Windows, > MAC). > **** Build an ODBC driver (for Windows). Best regards --Erich

PostgreSQL Core Team




Top row: Thomas Lockhart (retired), Jan Wieck, Tom Lane, Marc Fournier Bottom row: Vadim Mikheev, Bruce Momjian

PostgreSQL Core Team With Andrew and Jolly



Developer's Globe



Release Dates and Sizes

Date	Release	Lines of code
1994		244,581
1996-08-01	1.02.1	
1996-10-27	1.09	178,976
1997-01-29	6.0	
1997-06-08	6.1	200,709
1997-10-02	6.2	225,848
1998-03-01	6.3	260,809
1998-10-30	6.4	297,918
1999-06-09	6.5	331,278
2000-05-08	7.0	383,270
2001-04-13	7.1	410,500
2002-02-04	7.2	394,274
2002-11-27	7.3	453,282
2003-11-17	7.4	508,523
2005-01-19	8.0	654,437

1997



Web Site 1997

register into central download third party search this site 🥥 users' lists developers' lists documentation - reference report a bug documentation contributors commercial J free comparison APACH PreebSD RALESQ

Mirrors of this site are available: [pl] [ph] [usa] [de]




PostgreSQL is a robust, next-generation, Object-Relational DBMS (ORDBMS), derived from the Berkeley Postgres database management system. While PostgresQL retains the powerful object-relational data model, rich data types and easy extensibility of Postgres, it replaces the PostQue (uery language with an extended subset of SQL.

NEWSFLASH!!!

As of October 19 (EDT), the latest version of PostgreSQL v6.2.1 has been released! Get it NOW!

2000




Web Site 2000



Today, after 8 months of intensive development since our last release, the PostgreSQL Global Development Group is proud to announce the first Beta release of PostgreSQL 7.0. The list of changes is available at the first beta of PostgreSQL 7.0 is available at

ftp://ftp.postgresql.org/pub/postgresql-7.0beta1.tar.gz and mirror sites around the world, this represents our first public view of the upcoming release, scheduled for April 1st.

User Friendly? 2002



Web Site 2003

P9HOSTER	PostgreSQL	 Banner Advertisment
Switch hosts and SAVE Click here for details	Download Mailing Lists Users Lounge Hackers Lair Documentation	
What is	News	Contact Us
Find out more about what PostgreSQL is:	ar hearysold: a. ORG domain name registry now provered by PostgreSQL Pooled on 2003-01-21 by justificpostyread org The global .ORG domain name registry is now powered by PostgreSQL each and the data each de data are set of the set	
Case studies Check out the details of some well known projects that use PostgreSQL.		
User Survey What would attract the most new PostgreSQL users? Win32 Port Replication Point in Time Recovery More speed	¹ . customers gain the advantage of a streamined installation for replicating to one or multiple size databases, while all training all of the feasibility for complex configurations in large scale environments; states Justin Clift, Director of Australia's Digital Distribution Global Finandial Services, (DDCFS). psc(DDC) 07.02, 0006 Released Provide or 0000-01-02 by page-global prevalues (DTC) Pro	space and much more for your PostgreSdi- related project. Mailing list archives Complete searchabil archives of the publi- mailing lists. Techdocs Technical document houtos, white papern and much, much mo
C More features Better migration Better docs Better marketing	PostgreSQL 7.3.1 Released Posted on 2002-12-22 by scrappy@hub.org Last night, we packaged up v7.3.1 of PostgreSQL, our latest stable release.	Bug Reporting Report a bug in PostgreSQL
Submit	Submit News	International
Results	Events PostgreSQL Seminar in Turkey Posted on 2003-01-02 by devrim@gunduz.org	PostgreSQL Br O centro de informa??es para os usu?rios brasileiros

Change?



Better?



Web Site 2005



Copyright © 1996 - 2005 PostgreSOL Global Development Group

Privacy Policy | Project hosted by hub.org | Designed by tinysofa

» More Submit Event RSS

My Trips





https://momjian.us/presentations